

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON  
MEDFORD DIVISION

PAULA L. MASTRO,  
Plaintiff,

No. 3:12-cv-134-CL

v.

CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Acting  
Commissioner of Social Security,  
Defendant.

**ORDER**

---

**PANNER, District Judge:**

Magistrate Judge Mark D. Clarke filed a Findings and Recommendation, and the matter is now before this court. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B), Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). When either party objects to any portion of a Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation, the district court makes a de novo determination of that portion of the Magistrate Judge's report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Bus. Mach., Inc., 656 F. 2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981).

Here, plaintiff objects to the Findings and Recommendation, so I have reviewed this matter de novo. I agree with Magistrate Judge Clarke that the ALJ's findings are supported by substantial evidence. Accordingly, I ADOPT the Findings and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Clarke.

**CONCLUSION**

The Findings and Recommendation (#21) is adopted. The decision of the Commissioner is affirmed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 6 day of May, 2013.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Owen M. Panner", written over a horizontal line.

OWEN M. PANNER  
U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE